

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A mesoscopic field theory of ionic systems versus a collective variable approach

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 L583 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/39/40/L02)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.106 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 04:51

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) L583-L588

doi:10.1088/0305-4470/39/40/L02

### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# A mesoscopic field theory of ionic systems versus a collective variable approach

#### **Oksana Patsahan and Ihor Mryglod**

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine 1 Svientsitskii Str. 79011 Lviv, Ukraine

E-mail: oksana@icmp.lviv.ua

Received 28 July 2006 Published 19 September 2006 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/L583

#### Abstract

We establish a link between the two functional approaches: a mesoscopic field theory developed recently by Ciach and Stell (2000 *J. Mol. Liq.* **87** 253) for the study of ionic models and an exact statistical field theory based on the method of collective variables.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.70.Ce

Two rigorous scalar field theories were recently developed to describe the phase equilibria in ionic fluids: the KSSHE (Kac–Siegert–Stratonovich–Hubbard–Edwards) theory [1] and the approach [2, 3] which is based on the collective variable (CV) method [4, 5]. As was shown recently [3, 6] both theories are in close relation. Our goal here is to establish a link between the CV approach and the mesoscopic field theory developed in [7, 8] for the restricted primitive model with additional short-range interactions presented (RPM + SR) or, more specifically, to demonstrate how after some approximations in the exact microscopic CV action  $\mathcal{H}[\nu_{\alpha}, \rho, Q, \omega, \gamma]$  we can arrive at the functional of the grand potential  $\Delta\Omega^{MF}[\eta, \phi]$ considered in [7, 8].

Let us consider a general case of a classical two-component system consisting of N particles among which there exist  $N_1$  particles of species 1 and  $N_2$  particles of species 2. The pair interaction potential is assumed to be of the following form:

$$U_{\alpha\beta}(r) = v_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm HS}(r) + v_{\alpha\beta}^{C}(r) + v_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm SR}(r), \qquad (1)$$

L583

where  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm HS}(r)$  is the interaction potential between the two additive hard spheres of diameters  $\sigma_{\alpha\alpha}$  and  $\sigma_{\beta\beta}$ . We call the two-component hard sphere system a reference system (RS). Thermodynamic and structural properties of RS are assumed to be known.  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{C}(r)$  is the Coulomb potential:  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{C}(r) = q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}v^{C}(r)$ , where  $v^{C}(r) = 1/(Dr)$ , *D* is the dielectric constant, hereafter we put D = 1. The solution is made of both positive and negative ions so that the electroneutrality is satisfied,  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} q_{\alpha}c_{\alpha} = 0$ , and  $c_{\alpha}$  is the concentration of the species  $\alpha$ ,

0305-4470/06/400583+06\$30.00 © 2006 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

 $c_{\alpha} = N_{\alpha}/N$ . The ions of the species  $\alpha = 1$  are characterized by their hard sphere diameter  $\sigma_{11}$  and their electrostatic charge  $+q_0$  and those of species  $\alpha = 2$ , characterized by diameter  $\sigma_{22}$ , bear opposite charge  $-zq_0$  ( $q_0$  is elementary charge and z is the parameter of charge asymmetry).  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{SR}}(r)$  is the potential of the short-range interaction:  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{SR}}(r) = v_{\alpha\beta}^{R}(r) + v_{\alpha\beta}^{A}(r)$ , where  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{R}(r)$  is used to mimic the soft core asymmetric repulsive interaction,  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{R}(r)$  is assumed to have a Fourier transform;  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{A}(r)$  describes a van der Waals-like attraction.

We consider the grand partition function (GPF) of the system which can be written as follows:

$$\Xi[\nu_{\alpha}] = \sum_{N_1 \ge 0} \sum_{N_2 \ge 0} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \frac{\exp(\nu_{\alpha} N_{\alpha})}{N_{\alpha}!} \int (d\Gamma) \exp\left[-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ij} U_{\alpha\beta}(r_{ij})\right]. \quad (2)$$

Here the following notations are used:  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is the dimensionless chemical potential,  $\nu_{\alpha} = \beta \mu_{\alpha} - 3 \ln \Lambda$ ,  $\mu_{\alpha}$  is the chemical potential of the  $\alpha$ th species,  $\beta$  is the reciprocal temperature,  $\Lambda^{-1} = (2\pi m_{\alpha}\beta^{-1}/h^2)^{1/2}$  is the inverse de Broglie thermal wavelength and (d $\Gamma$ ) is the element of configurational space of the particles.

Let us introduce operators  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ :  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}$  and  $\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}$ , which are combinations of the Fourier transforms of the microscopic number density of the species  $\alpha$ :  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} = \sum_{i} \exp\left(-\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_{i}^{\alpha}\right)$ . In this case a part of the Boltzmann factor in (2) which does not include the RS interaction can be presented as follows:

$$\exp\left[-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{\alpha\beta}\sum_{i,j}\left(U_{\alpha\beta}(r_{ij})-v_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm HS}(r_{ij})\right)\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{NN}\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{QQ}\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{Q}_{-\mathbf{k}} + 2\widetilde{\Phi}_{NQ}\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{Q}_{-\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha}^{\rm SR}(k) + q_{\alpha}^{2}\widetilde{\Phi}^{C}(k)\right)\right], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{NN}(k) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \Big[ z^2 \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}^{\text{SR}}(k) + 2z \widetilde{\Phi}_{12}^{\text{SR}}(k) + \widetilde{\Phi}_{22}^{\text{SR}}(k) \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{QQ}(k) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \Big[ \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}^{\text{SR}}(k) - 2 \widetilde{\Phi}_{12}^{\text{SR}}(k) + \widetilde{\Phi}_{22}^{\text{SR}}(k) \Big] + \widetilde{\Phi}^C(k), \qquad (4)$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{NQ}(k) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \Big[ z \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}^{\text{SR}}(k) + (1-z) \widetilde{\Phi}_{12}^{\text{SR}}(k) - \widetilde{\Phi}_{22}^{\text{SR}}(k) \Big]$$

and we use the notations  $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}^{X...}(k) = \frac{\beta}{V} \tilde{v}_{\alpha\beta}^{X...}(k)$  with  $\tilde{v}_{\alpha\beta}^{X...}(k)$  being a Fourier transform of the corresponding interaction potential.

In order to introduce the collective variables (CVs) we use the identity

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{\Phi}(k)\widehat{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}}\widehat{\xi}_{-\mathbf{k}}\right] = \int (d\xi)\delta_{\mathcal{F}}[\xi - \widehat{\xi}]\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{\Phi}(k)\xi_{\mathbf{k}}\xi_{-\mathbf{k}}\right],$$
 (5)

where  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}[\xi - \hat{\xi}]$  denotes the functional delta function.

Taking into account (3)–(5), we can rewrite (2)

$$\Xi[\nu_{\alpha}] = \int (d\rho)(dQ)(d\omega)(d\gamma) \exp(-\mathcal{H}[\nu_{\alpha}, \rho, Q, \omega, \gamma]),$$
(6)

where the CV action  ${\cal H}$  is as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}[\nu_{\alpha}, \rho, Q, \omega, \gamma] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\widetilde{\Phi}_{NN}(k)\rho_{\mathbf{k}}\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{QQ}(k)Q_{\mathbf{k}}Q_{-\mathbf{k}} + 2\widetilde{\Phi}_{NQ}(k)\rho_{\mathbf{k}}Q_{-\mathbf{k}}] - i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\rho_{\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}Q_{\mathbf{k}}) - \ln \Xi_{\mathrm{HS}}[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}; -i\omega, -iq_{\alpha}\gamma].$$
(7)

In (7) CVs  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $Q_{\mathbf{k}}$  describe fluctuations of the total number density and charge density, respectively.  $\Xi_{\text{HS}}[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}; -i\omega, -iq_{\alpha}\gamma]$  is the GPF of a two-component system of hard spheres with the renormalized local chemical potential

$$\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} = \nu_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha}^{\mathrm{SR}}(k) + \frac{q_{\alpha}^2}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\Phi}^C(k) - \mathrm{i}\omega(r) - \mathrm{i}q_{\alpha}\gamma(r).$$
(8)

In the above integral (d...) is a volume element of the corresponding CV phase space, e.g.  $(d\rho) = d\rho_0 \prod'_{k\neq 0} d\operatorname{Re} \rho_k d\operatorname{Im} \rho_k$ , the prime means that the product over k is performed in the upper semi-space  $(\rho_{-k} = \rho_k^*)$ .

The MF approximation of functional (6) is defined by

$$\Xi_{\rm MF}[\nu_{\alpha}] = \exp(-\mathcal{H}[\nu_{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, Q, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\gamma}]), \tag{9}$$

where  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $\bar{Q}$ ,  $\bar{\omega}$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  are the solutions of the saddle point equations:

$$\bar{\rho} = \langle N[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}; -i\bar{\omega}, -iq_{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}] \rangle_{\text{HS}}, \qquad \bar{Q} = 0, 
\bar{\omega} = -i\bar{\rho}\widetilde{\Phi}_{NN}(0), \qquad \bar{\gamma} = -i\bar{\rho}\widetilde{\Phi}_{NQ}(0).$$
(10)

Now we present CVs  $\rho_k$  and  $Q_k$  ( $\omega_k$  and  $\gamma_k$ ) as

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mathbf{k}} &= \bar{\rho} \delta_{\mathbf{k}} + \delta \rho_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad Q_{\mathbf{k}} &= \bar{Q} \delta_{\mathbf{k}} + \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{k}} &= \bar{\omega} \delta_{\mathbf{k}} + \delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} &= \bar{\gamma} \delta_{\mathbf{k}} + \delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}, \end{split}$$

where the quantaties with a bar are given by (10) and  $\delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the Kronecker symbol. Then we write  $\ln \Xi_{\text{HS}}[\bar{v}_{\alpha}; -i\omega, -iq_{\alpha}\gamma]$  in the form of the cumulant expansion

$$\ln \Xi_{\rm HS}[\ldots] = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \sum_{i_n \ge 0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{k}_n} \mathfrak{M}_n^{(i_n)}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) \delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_1} \ldots \delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{i_n}} \delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n+1}}} \ldots \delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{k}_n},$$
(11)

where  $\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(i_{n})}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n})$  is the *n*th cumulant defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(i_{n})}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n}) = \frac{\partial^{n} \ln \Xi_{\mathrm{HS}}[\ldots]}{\partial \delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \ldots \partial \delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n}}} \partial \delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n+1}}} \ldots \partial \delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_{n}}} \bigg|_{\delta \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}=0,\delta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}=0}.$$
 (12)

The expressions for the cumulants (for  $n \leq 4$ ) are given in [3]. Substituting (11), (12) in (6), (7) we obtain

$$\Xi[\nu_{\alpha}] = \Xi_{\rm MF}[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}] \int (d\delta\rho)(d\delta Q)(d\delta\omega)(d\delta\gamma) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2!}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}[\tilde{\Phi}_{NN}(k)\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}\delta\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} + 2\tilde{\Phi}_{NQ}(k)\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}\delta Q_{-\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{\Phi}_{QQ}(k)\delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}\delta Q_{-\mathbf{k}}] + i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(\delta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}} + \delta\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}) + \sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{(-i)^{n}}{n!}\sum_{i_{n}\geq 0}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{k}_{n}}\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(i_{n})}(k_{1},\dots,k_{n})\delta\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\dots\delta\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n}}}\delta\omega_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n+1}}}\dots\delta\omega_{\mathbf{k}_{n}} \times \delta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}+\dots+\mathbf{k}_{n}}\right\}.$$
(13)

Let us make the following approximations. We neglect the *k* dependence of the cumulants putting  $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(i_n)}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_n^{(i_n)}(0, \ldots, 0)$  and replace the full chemical potentials  $\nu_{\alpha}$  by their MF values  $\nu_{\alpha}^*$ . We also limit our consideration to the restricted primitive model (z = 1)

supplemented by the same short-range interactions for both species (RPM + SR)  $(v_{11}^{SR}(r) = v_{22}^{SR}(r) = v_{12}^{SR}(r) = v^{SR}(r))$ . The latter means that  $\tilde{\Phi}_{NN}(k) = \tilde{\Phi}^{SR}(k)$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{NQ}(k) = 0$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{QQ}(k) = \tilde{\Phi}^{C}(k)$ . In this case we have for the cumulants [2]

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(0)} = \widetilde{G}_{n}, \qquad \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(2)} = q_{0}^{2}\widetilde{G}_{n-1}, \qquad \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(3)} \equiv 0, \qquad \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{(4)} = q_{0}^{4}(3\widetilde{G}_{n-2} - 2\widetilde{G}_{n-3}),$$
(14)

where  $\widetilde{G}_n = \widetilde{G}_n(0, ..., 0)$  is the Fourier transform of the *n*-particle truncated (connected) correlation function [9] of a one-component hard sphere system with the density  $\overline{\rho}$  defined by (10). Because both  $\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2^{(2)}$  are positive and smooth functions in the region under consideration [2], we can integrate in (13) over  $\delta \omega_k$  and  $\delta \gamma_k$  with the Gaussian density measure as basic one. The integration is performed using the Euler equations. We determine  $\delta \omega_k^*$  and  $\delta \gamma_k^*$  which provide a maximum for the functional in the exponent of (13). This leads to the expression for  $\Xi$ 

$$\Xi = \Xi_{\rm MF} \mathcal{C} \int (\mathrm{d}\delta\rho) (\mathrm{d}\delta Q) \exp(-\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\delta\rho, \delta Q)), \qquad (15)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\delta\rho,\delta Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ a_2^{(0)}(k) \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}} \delta\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} + a_2^{(2)}(k) \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}} \delta Q_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] + \sum_{n \ge 3} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_n \ge 0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1,\dots,\mathbf{k}_n} a_n^{(i_n)} \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}_1} \dots \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}_{i_n}} \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n+1}}} \dots \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}_n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1+\dots+\mathbf{k}_n}.$$
(16)

and the following notations are introduced

$$\mathcal{C} = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\pi \mathfrak{M}_{2}^{(0)}} \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\pi \mathfrak{M}_{2}^{(2)}},$$
  
$$a_{2}^{(0)}(k) = \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathrm{SR}}(k) + 1/\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{(0)}, \qquad a_{2}^{(2)}(k) = \widetilde{\Phi}^{C}(k) + 1/\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{(2)}, \tag{17}$$

$$a_3^{(0)} = -\mathfrak{M}_3^{(0)} / (\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)})^3, \qquad a_3^{(2)} = -\frac{3\mathfrak{M}_3^{(2)}}{\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}(\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)})^2},$$
 (18)

$$a_4^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\left(\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}\right)^4} \left[\mathfrak{M}_4^{(0)} - \frac{3\left(\mathfrak{M}_3^{(0)}\right)^2}{\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}}\right], \qquad a_4^{(2)} = \frac{12\left(\mathfrak{M}_3^{(2)}\right)^2}{\left(\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}\right)^2 \left(\mathfrak{M}_2^{(2)}\right)^3}, \quad (19)$$

$$a_4^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{\left(\mathfrak{M}_2^{(2)}\right)^4} \left[\mathfrak{M}_4^{(4)} - \frac{3\left(\mathfrak{M}_3^{(2)}\right)^2}{\mathfrak{M}_2^{(0)}}\right].$$
(20)

Taking into account (14) we rewrite (16)–(20) as follows:

$$\Xi = \Xi_{\rm MF} \mathcal{C}' \int (\mathrm{d}\delta\rho) \, (\mathrm{d}\delta Q^*) \exp(-\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\delta\rho, \delta Q^*)), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\delta\rho,\delta Q^*) = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ \widetilde{a}_2^{(0)}(k) \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}} \delta\rho_{-\mathbf{k}} + \widetilde{a}_2^{(2)}(k) \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}^* \delta Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^* \right] + \sum_{n \ge 3} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{V^{n-1}} \sum_{i_n \ge 0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1,\dots,\mathbf{k}_n} \widetilde{a}_n^{(i_n)} \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}_1}^* \dots \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}_{i_n}}^* \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{n+1}}} \dots \delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}_n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1+\dots+\mathbf{k}_n}.$$
(22)

Here

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{a}_{2}^{(0)}(k) &= \beta \widetilde{v}^{\text{SR}}(k) + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}\widetilde{S}_{2}}, & \widetilde{a}_{2}^{(2)}(k) &= \beta \widetilde{v}^{C}(k) + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}}, \\ \widetilde{a}_{3}^{(0)} &= -\frac{\widetilde{S}_{3}}{\bar{\rho}^{2}\widetilde{S}_{2}^{3}}, & \widetilde{a}_{3}^{(2)} &= -\frac{3}{\bar{\rho}^{2}}, \\ \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}\widetilde{S}_{2}^{4}} \left(\widetilde{S}_{4} - 3\frac{\widetilde{S}_{3}^{2}}{\widetilde{S}_{2}}\right), & \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(2)} &= \frac{12}{\bar{\rho}^{3}}, & \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(4)} &= \frac{2}{\bar{\rho}^{3}} \end{split}$$

with  $\delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}/q_0$  and  $\widetilde{S}_n = \widetilde{G}_n/\langle N \rangle$ . After taking into account  $\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d\mathbf{k}$  and  $\delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n} = \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \exp i\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n)$ in (22) we arrive at the same expression for the action as that obtained in the mesoscopic field theory (see, e.g., equations (44)–(48) in [8]),  $\Delta \Omega^{\rm MF}[\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\phi}]$  with  $\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta \rho_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta Q_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ . In order to demonstrate that corresponding coefficients of both actions coincide i.e.,

$$\widetilde{a}_{2}^{(0)}(k) = \widetilde{C}_{\eta\eta}^{(0)}(k) = \beta \widetilde{v}^{\text{SR}}(k) + \gamma_{0,2},$$
(23)

$$\widetilde{a}_{2}^{(2)}(k) = \widetilde{C}_{\phi\phi}^{(0)}(k) = \beta \widetilde{v}^{C}(k) + \gamma_{2,0},$$
(24)

$$\widetilde{a}_{3}^{(0)} = \gamma_{0,3}, \qquad \widetilde{a}_{3}^{(2)} = 3\gamma_{2,1}, \qquad \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(0)} = \gamma_{0,4}, \qquad \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(2)} = 6\gamma_{2,2}, \qquad \widetilde{a}_{4}^{(4)} = \gamma_{4,0}$$
(25)

 $(\widetilde{C}_{\eta\eta}^{(0)}(k), \widetilde{C}_{\phi\phi}^{(0)}(k)$  and  $\gamma_{2m,n}$  are the notations used in [8]), we consider coefficients  $\gamma_{2m,n}$  in detail. To this end we recall that  $\gamma_{2m,n}$  denotes the appropriate derivative of the Helmholtz free energy of the hard sphere system  $f_h$  (see e.g.[8])

$$\gamma_{2m,n} = \beta \frac{\partial^{2m+n} f_h}{\partial \phi^{2m} \partial {\rho^{*^n}}} \bigg|_{\phi=0,\rho^*=\bar{\rho}^*}$$

For example, when the Carnahan–Starling (CS) form of  $f_h$  is adobted in the local-density approximation

$$\beta f_h(\rho^*, \phi) = \frac{\rho^* + \phi}{2} \log\left(\frac{\rho^* + \phi}{2}\right) + \frac{\rho^* - \phi}{2} \log\left(\frac{\rho^* - \phi}{2}\right) - \rho^* + \rho^* \frac{s(4 - 3s)}{(1 - s)^2}$$

we obtain the following explicit expressions for  $\gamma_{2m,n}$ :

$$\gamma_{0,2} = \frac{1+4s+4s^2-4s^3+s^4}{(1-s)^4\bar{\rho}^*} = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^*\tilde{S}_2}, \qquad \gamma_{2,0} = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^*}$$
(26)

$$\gamma_{0,3} = -\frac{1 - 5s - 20s^2 - 4s^3 + 5s^4 - s^5}{\bar{\rho}^{*2}(1 - s)^5} = -\frac{\tilde{S}_3}{\bar{\rho}^{*2}\tilde{S}_2^3}, \qquad \gamma_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^{*2}}, \tag{27}$$

$$\gamma_{0,4} = -\frac{2(1-6s+15s^2+52s^3+3s^4-6s^5+s^6)}{\bar{\rho}^{*3}(1-s)^6} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^{*3}\widetilde{S}_2^4} \left(\widetilde{S}_4 - 3\frac{\widetilde{S}_3^2}{\widetilde{S}_2}\right),\tag{28}$$

$$\gamma_{2,2} = \frac{2}{\bar{\rho}^{*3}}, \qquad \gamma_{4,4} = \frac{2}{\bar{\rho}^{*3}}.$$
 (29)

In the above equations we use the notations  $\rho^* = \bar{\rho}^* + \delta \rho^*$  and  $s = \pi \rho^* / 6$  ( $\rho^* = \rho \sigma^3$  and  $\bar{\rho}^*$  are the dimensionless total number density and its MF value, respectively). As is seen, expressions (26)–(29) confirm the relations given by (23)–(25).

## References

- [1] Caillol J-M 2004 J. Stat. Phys. 115 1461 (extended version: Preprint cond-mat/0305465)
- [2] Patsahan O and Mryglod I 2006 Condens. Matter Phys. 9 (in press)
- [3] Patsahan O, Mryglod I and Patsahan T 2006 Preprint cond-mat/0606687
- [4] Zubarev D N 1954 Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 95 757 (in Russian)
- [5] Yukhnovskii I R 1958 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34 379
   Yukhnovskii I R 1958 Sov. Phys.—JETP 34 263
- [6] Caillol J-M, Patsahan O and Mryglod I 2006 Physica A 368 326
- [7] Ciach A and Stell G 2000 J. Mol. Liq. 87 253
- [8] Ciach A and Stell G 2005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 21 3309
- [9] Stell G 1975 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 5b ed C Domb and J L Lebowitz (New York: Academic) 1973